

PATENT

Atty. Dkt. No. APPM/002981/CPES/ESPD/PJS

REMARKS

This is intended as a full and complete response to the Final Office Action dated October 17, 2005, having a shortened statutory period for response set to expire on January 17, 2005. Please reconsider the claims pending in the application for reasons discussed below.

Claims 42, 50, 59 and 110-130 remain pending in the application and are shown above. Claims 110-112 and 122-130 are rejected and claims 42, 50, 59 and 113-121 are indicated to be allowable by the Examiner. Reconsideration of the rejected claims is requested for reasons presented below.

Claims 110-112, 123, 126 and 129 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Lorimer I* (WO 98/48168) or *Lorimer II* (U.S. Patent No. 6,85,963), either taken in view of *Beyer, et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 5,944,049). Claims 122, 124, 125, 127, 128 and 130 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Lorimer I* or *Lorimer II*, either taken in view of *Beyer, et al.* for the reasons stated above, and taken in further view of *Steffens, et al.* (4,983,107) and *Garay, et al.* (Pump Application Desk Book). Claims 110-112 and 122-130 are canceled. Withdrawal of the rejections is respectfully requested.

In conclusion, the references cited by the Examiner, alone or in combination, do not teach, show, or suggest the invention as claimed.

Having addressed all issues set out in the Final Office Action, Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully request that the claims be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,



Keith M. Tackett
Registration No. 32,008
PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, L.L.P.
3040 Post Oak Blvd. Suite 1500
Houston, TX 77056
Telephone: (713) 623-4844
Facsimile: (713) 623-4846
Attorney for Applicant(s)

Page 5

418588_1